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The Theory

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is an agency of the federal government of the United States charged with protecting human health and the environment, by writing and enforcing regulations based on laws passed by Congress.

In Practice

The EPA is effectively no longer under the control of the US Congress; its allegiance is to the UN and implementation of the policies of Sustainable Development via Agenda 21.² It has considerable involvement in the IPCC reports and claims the UN body as a peer reviewed authority, in pursuing ever more rigorous controls of “CO₂ pollution”, to bring about the realisation of “environmental governance”.

Whilst Lisa Jackson is currently the face on the box at the EPA, she is simply carrying out the tasks expected of her by the globalist movement in setting the scene for more international control, under the leadership of the United Nations.

Her recent speech³ at the National Council for Science and the Environment's (NCSE) National Conference on Environment and Security, was straight from the UN Agenda 21 hymn sheet. The EPA cannot be considered in isolation from the UN and its mechanisms, because they are closely intertwined. Equally the actions of Lisa Jackson cannot be divorced from the history of the EPA, in particular the Clinton-Gore-Browner administration, which initiated or reinforced many of the policies now in contention.

Dr Gro Brundtland, the architect of “Our Common Future” which became Agenda 21, the bible of Maurice Strong’s New World Order, was present at the NCSE conference, (why?) and
received an admiring tribute from Lisa Jackson, who praised her years of work on global sustainable development.

Dr Brundtland is a former Vice President of Socialist International and at an SI Council Meeting in 1992, she highlighted the SI Agenda, whose similarities with Agenda 21 are all too apparent. There is more background to Agenda 21 and the Brundtland Report in the SPPI paper United Socialist Nations. This is a brief extract and shows the long history of the EPA involvement with the UN:

“In 1987, the Brundtland Report, headed by Gro Harlem Brundtland, former prime minister of Norway and former vice-president of the Socialist International, led to the UN Commission on Sustainable Development, which led to Agenda 21 and the Millennium Development Goals. The principal draftsman was Mr. Nitin Desai, UNCED's deputy secretary-general and currently a “Distinguished Fellow” at Rajendra Pachauri’s TERI organisation. William D. Ruckelshaus, the first EPA Administrator, was a member of the Brundtland Commission with Maurice Strong.”

UN OCEANS – AGENDA 21 IS WELL ESTABLISHED

Ruckelshaus is still in the picture and is joint chair of the Leadership Council of the Joint Ocean Commission Initiative (JOCI) and was a member of the US Commission on Ocean Policy. A fellow member of the JOCI council with Ruckelshaus is none other than John Podesta of the Center for American Progress, former White House Chief of Staff to President Clinton and co-chair of President Obama’s transition team.

The National Ocean Council was a product of the presidential Ocean Policy Task Force 2010 and EPA head Lisa Jackson is a member of the Council. A major objective of the presidential Task Force was accession to the UN Law of the Sea Treaty, LOST:

The National Ocean Council will continue the pressure for ratification of LOST, with Co-Chairs Nancy Sutley and John Holdren leading the charge, aided by, amongst others, Jane Lubchenco, NOAA administrator.

It will also administer Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning, and states that it will be guided by the precautionary approach as reflected in Principle 15 of the Rio Declaration:

“Where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation.”

On March 30th 2009, Lisa Jackson announced a proposal to the International Maritime Organization to designate US coastal waters as Emission Control Areas. It means the EPA can control all shipping and port activity once in force.
EMISSION CONTROL

On March 30th 2009, Lisa Jackson announced a proposal to the International Maritime Organization to designate US coastal waters as Emission Control Areas. It means the EPA can control all shipping and port activity once in force.

“The Emission Control Areas will reach from the shores of the Gulf of Alaska, along Canada and down the coast of California. On the east coast, the proposed ECA will start at the Northern tip of Newfoundland and Labrador, curve around the southern coast of Florida, and reach through the Gulf of Mexico to the southern tip of Texas.

The proposal will create a 200 mile buffer zone along our coasts – a distance of protection that will help air quality improvements reach as far inland as Kansas. We’re proud to be working with local and international partners to develop the most effective possible plan.”

SHARING THE SAME AGENDA – UN, EPA, SOCIALIST INTERNATIONAL

This is all part of a strategy that is ongoing across the world, implemented as part of each nation’s Agenda 21 commitments. The United Socialist Nations paper contains more details of the London-based Socialist International, its historical roots in Fabianism and its modern international reach and influence. Whilst there are no direct links between Lisa Jackson and Socialist International, that is not the case with Mrs Jackson’s predecessor, former Clinton EPA head, Carol Browner.
Ms Browner spent two years as Director of the White House Office of Energy and Climate Change Policy from 2008 and was a vice-president of Socialist International, a member of the Board of Directors of Al Gore’s Alliance for Climate Protection and a member of the Board of Directors of John Podesta’s Center for American Progress, (CAP), all of which she left on joining the Obama administration, (at least she disappeared off their web-sites). She has recently returned to the Center for American Progress. Offshoots from the Soros funded group are Joe Romm’s Climate Progress and the student organising group, Campus Progress.

OUR COMMON FUTURE (IT’S SOCIALIST)

Agenda 21 means that each country pursues a common policy to reach the UN goals, by regulating “the environment” and energy usage in their own country. The Kyoto Protocol is an example of this approach. Who wouldn’t want a safe environment, but the Socialist prescription is not really about that. The long-term objective of the UN, is power, pure and simple, to be in total control of all our activities.

They wish to control the seas, the forests, the countryside, where you live, what transport you use, where you work, what work you do, what you think, how many children you can have, how you live. Below is a comparison of comments from Lisa Jackson and Ban Ki Moon in recent speeches and Gro Harlem Brundtland from 1992. Decide for yourself where Lisa Jackson’s agenda lies.

SPOT THE DIFFERENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lisa Jackson⁹</th>
<th>Ban Ki Moon¹⁰</th>
<th>Gro Harlem Brundtland¹¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USEPA Administrator</td>
<td>UN Secretary-General</td>
<td>Socialist International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th January 2012</td>
<td>14 February 2012</td>
<td>15 - 17 September 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have reached a point in human history where everyday activities – from</td>
<td>Most of the world’s ecosystems are in decline. We are nearing the point</td>
<td>At the Rio Conference on Environment and Development (1992) it was</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Offshoots from the Soros funded group are Joe Romm’s Climate Progress and the student organising group, Campus Progress.

The long-term objective of the UN, is power, pure and simple, to be in total control of all our activities.
our commerce to our transportation to our recreation – are affecting the health of our entire planet.

As Rio+20, the 20th anniversary of the 1992 Earth Summit, approaches in June, we have a chance to learn lessons, build partnerships and put in place innovative strategies that can reshape the economic and environmental future of our entire planet. It is the rarest of opportunities to truly change the world, and make a difference that will benefit billions of people.

Through the Joint Initiative we’re turning to leading private sector innovators, city planners, academics, environmental experts, urban developers, investors and financial institutions to spark enduring change.

The Joint Initiative began when President Obama and President Rousseff of Brazil brought our nations together to create a new platform for catalyzing investment in cleaner, greener and smarter infrastructure.

The world’s population now exceeds 7 billion people – all of whom must share the earth’s limited natural resources.

I have had the opportunity of no return on climate change. You all understand the high stakes -- for jobs, for social justice, for the Millennium Development Goals, for the health of the planet.

Join us at the Corporate Sustainability Forum in Rio. Organized by the UN Global Compact, it will showcase innovative public-private partnerships and business contributions.

Only with your strong support and leadership we can change and shape the world we want and we can make this world better for all.

Through the Caring for Climate initiative, over 400 business leaders have pledged to advance low-carbon solutions and help make the green economy a reality. You business CEOs, when you decide something today, it can be carried out tomorrow. That is why I am asking you to help the U.N. to help us protect planet earth and help lift millions of people from poverty and disease.

These concrete goals are three: first, by 2030 we will have to provide energy access to all 7 billion people. By 2030 we should double the energy efficiency and double the rate of renewable energy in the global energy mix.

made clear that we are heading towards a crisis of uncontrollable dimensions unless we change course.

Today we are faced with global challenges that can be addressed only through international cooperation.

Securing peace, sustainable development and democracy requires that nations, in their common interest, establish an effective system of global governance and security. In an increasingly interdependent world, we must find new ways to live - both within our own countries and on a global level - that are socially, economically, and environmentally sustainable. What we need is a new social contract. Monetary stability will not suffice. And just as democracy originated in Europe some 2500 years ago, just as social democracy developed in Europe over the past 100 years, so must we again take the lead.

We must curb population growth and reinforce the links between population, poverty-alleviation and the rights of women.

A new social contract must be based on our overriding principles - freedom, solidarity and justice.
in recent years to travel around the world and speak with our international partners about building the best possible environmental protection mechanisms. It means working together to strengthen the effectiveness of environmental governance.

**Sustainable development** is the top priority of the United Nations and for my second term as Secretary-General.

I have been urging leaders of the world **not to be prisoners of their constituencies**.

When they have a vision and commitment they have to carry them out.

To pursue social justice, freedom and democracy will require that we **pool our** collective experiences and national sovereignties.

There is no alternative to obligatory coordination of financial and monetary policies.

---

**THE DEMOCRATS AND INTERNATIONAL SOCIALISM**

Note the comment from Ban Ki Moon that leaders of the world **should not be prisoners of their constituencies**. This means nothing less than that, regardless of the views of their electorate, they should press on with the UN mission. Politicians such as Ed Markey and Nancy Pelosi, as with left leaning politicians in other countries like the UK, have taken this on board and participate in the supra-governmental organisation of political figures and state officials known as **Globe International**. There is much more detail about their work in **United Socialist Nations**.

Carbon trader **Ian Johnson** Chairman of the GLOBE International Commission on Land Use Change and Ecosystems, is also Secretary-General of the **Club of Rome**. GLOBE works as a support arm of the UN in agreeing global policy outside national parliaments and then seeks to introduce that policy into the respective national legislation of the participants. They are directly involved in Climate policy and meet prior to the annual UNFCC conferences, to discuss strategy, in addition to other meetings throughout the year.

They have announced a “**World Summit Of Legislators**” to be held in Brazil just prior to the Rio + 20 Earth Summit to be held in June. I have no doubt it will be at public expense, even though they are an unknown body to the vast majority of tax payers, who of course have no say in who participates. GLOBE Secretary General, Adam Matthews, said:

```
Note the comment from Ban Ki Moon that leaders of the world **should not be prisoners of their constituencies**. This means nothing less than that, regardless of the views of their electorate, they should press on with the UN mission.
```
“This will be the first time a World Summit of Legislators will have taken place. This GLOBE event will add a new and important dynamic to the international process that will both drive international commitments into national legislation and also serve to scrutinise the delivery of the commitments that governments make.”

This is a grave departure from what we would like to believe is democracy and which we preach to other countries.

Prior to the Copenhagen Climate Conference in December 2009, Nancy Pelosi sent a video message to the GLOBE meeting, saying “On behalf of the US Congress I send greetings to my fellow law makers”, telling them that the US was embarked on a new path regarding climate change.

Congressman Ed Markey was vice-chairman, with the Chinese, of that meeting and proclaimed a new era of environmental control in the US with the election of Barack Obama. He said that the President had instructed EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson to begin the process of regulating CO₂ emissions and there was no time to waste, as the planet had a fever, (a phrase borrowed from Al Gore’s address to Congress in March 2007).

Senator Barack Obama gave a keynote address to the GLOBE Tokyo Legislators’ Forum, on June 28th 2008, saying:

“If elected President, I will turn the page on failed domestic policies that have continued our dependence on carbon fuels. I will pursue ambitious policies designed to move the U.S. economy toward a new path – one that reflects the cost of carbon and its impacts on the atmosphere; and one that steers our energy policies toward a sustainable, reliable, and affordable future.

From the beginning of my campaign, I have supported a carbon cap-and-trade program and an aggressive program of energy research and development that truly reflects the seriousness of the peril we face.”

In a video address to the Party of European Socialists, (PES) on Dec 8th 2009, as Copenhagen was about to get under way, Howard Dean, who was chairman of the Democratic National Committee at the time:
“called for a strong center left and noted the regular contact between democrats and PES over the previous three and a half years, at congress, senate and party level. He spoke of the need for a Low Carbon economy, large investment in “green” technology, social justice and “differentiated responsibilities “for developing and developed nations.” (a phrase from the UNFCCC).

The Democratic Socialists of America are affiliated to Socialist International and work closely with the Congressional Progressive Caucus and the Congressional Black Caucus, two powerful Caucuses with considerable overlap.

THE EPA, ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AND CIVIL RIGHTS

Lisa Jackson talks frequently of promoting “environmental justice” and “expanding the conversation of environmentalism”. In October 2010 the EPA Administrator was part of a Congressional Black Caucus visit to Oakland, San Francisco, on a “National Tour” to “Highlight Communities Heavily Impacted By Environmental Concerns”

“Oakland is the 4th stop on the joint EPA-CBC Environmental Justice Tour, with leaders visiting several areas throughout the country to highlight environmental justice challenges faced by Americans in all communities.”

In a speech at the EPA Observance of Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, in January this year, Administrator Jackson ramped up the rhetoric:

“There is something else we at the EPA owe to Dr. King and his legacy. It was the Civil Rights Movement that helped give rise to other movements in our history. The marches and demonstrations for equality and opportunity showed how effective those kinds of grassroots efforts could be on a wide range of issues. And environmentalism followed in the footsteps of the Civil Rights movement.

Today we continue to take direct inspiration from Dr. King, especially in our fight for environmental justice. Environmental justice is one of my top priorities for my time at the EPA, and it is something we are working to include in each and every initiative and decision the agency makes.”
the EPA, and it is something we are working to include in each and every initiative and decision the agency makes.”

Her introduction of racial division into environmental regulation by hitching it to the Civil Rights movement, started soon after taking office, as shown a couple of years ago, at the annual conference of the National Association of Black Journalists. The session was emotively entitled, “This Land Is Our Land Too: Justice, Jobs and Environmental Protection.”

“Jackson said that the administration's plans for clean energy could replace “some fraction” of manufacturing jobs lost with opportunities in “another industrial revolution” – jobs in alternative-energy sources, in weatherization, in federal investment in research and development.”

“But she had hope, she said, in training programs and in young people “who are embracing the green economy.” It's necessary, she said, to make clear to people suffering immediate economic distress the relationship between “traditional civil rights and social justice issues” and environmental justice.”

She was unashamedly linking their particular economic situation, not to the failure of the banking system, or government actions, but to a perceived lack of social and environmental justice and effectively saying, “You may not know you are downtrodden, but you are and we will save you.” This is politician-speak, you should not expect it from a top government administrator, in a public post paying almost $180,000 a year.

This is Lisa Jackson pursuing environmental justice in Elle Magazine – The Women in DC Power List - Lisa Jackson: The Eco-Chief.

To reinforce the idea of climate victim status, we are now told that African Americans emit less CO₂ than Whites, as in this Congressional Black Caucuses Foundation document.

Note the similarity of the message to that of the UN message for developing nations.

The fundamental conclusion of this report is that there is a stark disparity in the United States between those who benefit from the causes of climate change and those who bear the costs of climate change.
African Americans are already disproportionately burdened by the health effects of climate change, including deaths during heat waves and from worsened air pollution. Similarly, unemployment and economic hardship associated with climate change will fall most heavily on the African American community.

“African Americans are less responsible for climate change than other Americans; historically and at present, African Americans emit less greenhouse gas.”

CAROL BROWNER DE JA VU

Perhaps you will not be surprised to learn that environmental justice was not discovered by Lisa Jackson. This link contains commentary on Environmental Justice by long-time activist Robert Bullard and a critic, David Friedman. The article by Friedman is a “must read” as it is a direct parallel to the situation today with the Clean Air Act and CO₂ emissions, whereas then they invoked the Civil Rights Act to block development. Bullard’s article should also be read, to get a flavour of the rhetoric. Robert D. Bullard is Ware Professor of Sociology and Director of the Environmental Justice Resource Center at Clark Atlanta University.

David Friedman’s article from 1998 was entitled The “Environmental Racism” Hoax. (The American Enterprise. vol. 9, no- 6, November/December 1998) He shows the origin of the policy and how it was used by the Clinton Administration in the person of Carol Browner, to force through environmental regulations: This is how he described it:

“When the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) unveiled its heavily criticized environmental justice “guidance” earlier this year, it crowned years of maneuvering to redress an “outrage” that doesn’t exist. The agency claims that state and local policies deliberately cluster hazardous economic activities in politically powerless “communities of color.” The reality is that the EPA, by exploiting every possible legal ambiguity, skillfully limiting debate, and ignoring even its own science, has enshrined some of the worst excesses of racialist rhetoric and environmental advocacy into federal law.

Does that sound familiar? Remember that was 1998. Here are some more extracts from David Friedman’s story:

“Environmental justice” entered the activist playbook after a failed 1982 effort to block a hazardous-waste landfill in a predominantly black North Carolina county. One of the protesters was the District of Columbia’s congressional representative, who returned to Washington and prodded the General Accounting Office (GAO) to investigate whether noxious environmental risks were disproportionately sited in minority communities. A
year later, the GAO said that they were. Superfund and similar toxic dumps, it appeared, were disproportionately located in non-white neighborhoods.”

“Few of the relevant studies were peer-reviewed; all made critical errors. Properly analyzed, the data revealed that waste sites are just as likely to be located in white neighborhoods, or in areas where minorities moved only after permits were granted.”

“In 1992, a Democrat-controlled Congress ignored environmental justice legislation introduced by then-Senator Al Gore. Toxic racism made headlines, but not policy.”

All of that changed with the Clinton-Gore victory. Vice President Gore got his former staffer Carol Browner appointed head of the EPA and brought Chavis, Bullard, and other activists into the transition government. (Compare with Obama and Van Jones). The administration touted environmental justice as one of the symbols of its new approach.”

In 1994, Clinton issued an executive order, directing that every federal agency make environmental justice part of its mission. In a speech at the April 22, 1994 Earth Day EPA Administrator Carol Browner said:

“Nobody can question that, for far too long, communities across this country--low income, minority communities--have been asked to bear a disproportionate share of our modern industrial life.”

In May 2010, the EPA press office reported that $1.9 million in environmental justice grants had been awarded to 76 non-profit organizations and local governments working on environmental justice issues nationwide.

In January 2010 in her Harvard speech celebrating 40 years of the EPA, Administrator Lisa Jackson said:

“We’ve revitalized our EJ (Environmental Justice) office, issued EPA-wide guidance on incorporating EJ into their decision making, and – with my good friend Nancy Sutley – reconvened the leadership of the Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice for the first time in over a decade.”

OUTRAGEOUS CLAIMS AND MACHINE GUN STATISTICS

The EPA constantly throws out machine gun statistics, which rarely stand up to scrutiny. For example, Lisa Jackson told the League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC) National Convention and Exposition in 2010 that:

“Nearly nine in ten farm workers nationwide are Hispanic. They suffer a much higher exposure to dangerous pesticides and other chemicals. Among minority communities,
Latino children have the highest rates of leukemia in the nation. Nearly 30 million Latinos – 72 percent of the US Latino population – live in places that don’t meet US air pollution standards. Nearly 29 million live in areas that don’t meet standards for ozone.”

She really should check with other government agencies, USDA figures\textsuperscript{28} show a different picture, namely that, of all hired farm workers, \textbf{46\% are Hispanic}, not the 90\% quoted by Mrs Jackson.

What she didn’t tell LULAC was that, according to CDC figures\textsuperscript{29}, \textbf{Hispanics have a longer life expectancy than anyone else in the population} at every age from birth until approximately age 95. (Arias E. United States life tables by Hispanic origin. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(152) 2010.)

Over the last decade the EPA has given grants totalling at least $76,094,930 to \textbf{The National Association for Hispanic Elderly}\textsuperscript{30} Why? They are the EPA, not Social Services. Many of the awards are for employment within various EPA programs in the regions under the EPA’s Senior Environmental Employment Program, bringing yet another dependent constituency on board.

When extolling the virtues of the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards, MATS, at the University of Minnesota, as recently as January 17\textsuperscript{th}, Jackson claimed that:

“\textit{Once the rule is fully implemented in 2016, it will prevent up to 11,000 premature deaths; 4,700 heart attacks and 3,100 Emergency Room visits among children.}”

These are virtual people, existing only in an EPA model. For example 4,700 heart attacks translates to \textbf{0.015\% of the US population}. How can figures so fine be claimed as reality and used to justify the regulations, when the \textit{costs will be so high for such a non-significant result}.\textsuperscript{31}

This is regulation “Because We Can” and the use of flawed and manipulated figures to justify their existence is deplorable.

The UN also regularly makes highly dubious claims, (additional to the ones we know of) and Lisa Jackson quoted this remarkable figure at the Harvard University “Commemoration of EPA at 40”, in December 2010.

“\textit{In 2008 alone, UNEP estimated that environmental harm resulting from human activity caused $6.6 trillion in damages} – much of that to assets held or insured by the financial services sector.”
Such a figure can only be visualised in their spreadsheets if all natural disasters are laid at the door of human emissions of CO$_2$, a gigantic leap, even for the UN. She added her own claims for the EPA, saying it had “saved millions of lives and trillions of dollars in health benefits.”

There are strong parallels between the UN and the EPA at their respective scales; The UN tells developing nations they are at risk of climate disaster in the form of more floods, more heatwaves, more droughts, more typhoons, more hurricanes, loss of coastlines, loss of small island states, increased disease and a never ending litany of natural events, all blamed on the industrial revolution and the use of hydrocarbon fuels by the West. The EPA tells Minority and ethnic groups they are being victimised by fossil fuel energy companies, their health is at risk, their homes are at risk, their children are at risk and their communities are at risk from climate change, caused by those same fossil fuel energy companies.

The UN blames developed nations for emitting too much carbon dioxide, exemplified by the labelling of CO$_2$ from hydrocarbons as a pollutant. They claim that a fixed “carbon budget” exists, beyond which the earth will warm uncontrollably and that CO$_2$ is a simple thermostat for the earth, which we must turn down. The EPA slavishly follows the UN agenda and seeks the elimination of fossil fuel energy.

The fact that the Earth is composed of a multiplicity of chaotic, non-linear systems, that are beyond the capacity of current knowledge to model adequately, is unimportant. “Expert knowledge” is called in to make the judgements, the “experts” of course, being those who have promoted the theory all these years and have been found wanting. To quote the late Henry Linden: “The probability of occurrence of long-term trends is inversely proportional to the ‘expert’ consensus.”

The theme of Climate Justice underpins the IPCC and all the calls for contraction and convergence by the UN, NGO’s and developing countries. The usual claim, repeated time and again over the last couple of decades, is that countries that have contributed least to greenhouse gas emissions will be worst affected by global warming, a laying on of guilt for Western success and prosperity.
Climate Justice is also a favorite of Socialist International and was promoted by the SI Commission for a Sustainable World Society at the COP 17 conference in Durban in December 2011.

They spoke of the strong commitment of the organisation to a future of a low-carbon society with the concept of climate justice at its heart.

There were also “meetings with other delegations and representatives of global NGOs and civil society, including Kumi Naidoo, executive director of Greenpeace.”

To realise the funding necessary to satisfy the UN Climate Fund, agreed to at Copenhagen, it is important for CO₂ to be labelled as a pollutant in the US as well, hence Lisa Jackson’s Endangerment Finding, the climax of the preparatory work done by, in particular, Socialist International’s Carol Browner and a succession of NGO’s and billionaire foundations over the lifetime of the EPA. The sky is now the limit, to coin a phrase, in how much regulation can be pursued to control our daily lives.

**USE OF LANGUAGE**

Language is a key component of the campaign to demonise energy companies and those who oppose unnecessary and unrealistic ramping up of existing good practice regulations. One of the constant logical fallacies relating to the environment is that if you oppose the EPA, you are by definition, “anti the environment”. If you challenge the latest raft of EPA regulations, you “favor pollution”.

This is the moral high ground claimed by Administrator Jackson in her frequent speeches to receptive audiences and activist groups; there is never any mention of the fact that energy companies are vital to the economy and to the everyday lives of all of us, by providing (for the moment at least) affordable, reliable energy. Increases in the cost of energy hit the very groups that Lisa Jackson claims to be protecting, the poor and needy. Causing plants to close decimates communities with direct unemployment and an impact on ancillary businesses. The EPA Administrator boasts that they will be re-employed in green jobs, (perhaps like Solyndra?).
The **Power Shift** organisation is anti-oil, anti-natural gas, anti-coal and describes itself as a grassroots organisation and the hub of the Youth Climate Movement.

“The Power Shift organisation is anti-oil, anti-natural gas, anti-coal and describes itself as a grassroots organisation and the hub of the Youth Climate Movement.”

**Lisa Jackson – Climate Activist**

The **Power Shift** organisation is anti-oil, anti-natural gas, anti-coal and describes itself as a grassroots organisation and the hub of the Youth Climate Movement.

“From Power Shift to Occupy, to local grassroots organizing and the fight against Keystone XL, grassroots movements changed the course of history in 2011”

“In November 2007, the Coalition convened the first national youth climate summit at Power Shift 2007. More than 6,000 young people from all 50 states gathered at the University of Maryland on the outskirts of Washington, DC for a weekend of training, action and inspiration.

Speaker of the House **Nancy Pelosi**, and Congressman **Ed Markey** recognized the growing movement, addressing the 6,000 young people gathered at U-MD.

It was here that **Van Jones**, founder of Green For All and future White House Advisor, and other environmental justice leaders captivated a generation with a vision of creating millions of green jobs for our country and restoring economic and environmental justice.”

It is part of the Energy Action Coalition (EAC), which in turn describes itself as “a coalition of 50 youth-led environmental and social justice groups working together to build the youth clean energy and climate movement.” EAC is a prominent member of **Al Gore’s** Alliance for Climate Protection and one of their chief projects is the Campus Climate Challenge, funded by, amongst others, **George Soros’** Open Society Institute. You can find much more coverage of this activist grouping at discover the networks.

**Lisa Jackson** spoke at the **2009 Power Shift Rally** and was introduced as “one of us” and a “scientist bringing back science to the EPA”. She paid homage to the Power Shift movement, saying that she was excited by its impact in bringing about social change:

“African Americans and women got the vote because of a power shift. We have the first African American head of the EPA and the first African American President; we have changed the face of environmentalism.

We have a $10.5 billion budget, the largest in EPA history, that’s a power shift, EPA is back on the job. (cheers, yelling).

Science has been resurrected and will guide our actions.”
She made a call for youth action, saying that “EPA needs Power Shift and the Obama EPA is with you.”

The new Administrator also promised the (2009) crowd, that she would seek to overturn the Bush administration “midnight regulations”. The most critical of these to the environmental lobby was the memorandum by outgoing EPA chief Stephen Johnson, which stated that carbon dioxide was not a pollutant to be regulated and officials assessing applications by utilities to build new coal-fired power plants could not consider their greenhouse gas output when approving power plants.

Jackson also revealed the administration’s pre-determined policy on CO₂, when she said that:

“Our first steps on taking office were to resume the CO₂ endangerment finding and to seek fuel efficiency standards to reduce carbon pollution. The Law says Greenhouse Gases are pollution.”

**LITTLE SCIENCE IN THE ENDANGERMENT FINDING**

An analysis of the Endangerment Finding, showing the paucity of scientific input, how much of it was produced by IPCC authors working for the EPA, and the financial involvement of the EPA with IPCC, can be found here in the SPPI paper, [United Nations States Environmental Protection Agency](https://www.epa.gov/)

"It has in fact, a major stake in the IPCC process, as former EPA officials, (non-scientists), have been heavily involved in the IPCC reports, with funding from the EPA. Those former employees are also consultants to EPA and have major input to their regulatory findings, including the endangerment finding."

The input of the EPA into the IPCC reports is demonstrated by the fact that they provide funding for one of the core climate models, MAGICC/SCENGEN

The EPA authors of the Endangerment Technical Support Document are mainly economists and environmental policy specialists. The EPA authors of the Endangerment Technical Support Document are mainly economists and environmental policy specialists, with qualifications like Masters in International Affairs or Public Policy and Management, although there are a couple of chemists, engineers and one meteorologist. Some are also IPCC authors and many are involved in the production of the proposed regulations.”
**POWER SHIFT, LISA JACKSON AND THE XL PIPELINE**

Although Lisa Jackson has been careful to insist the XL pipeline decision was down to the state department, a group of **Power Shift supporters** 40 35-strong met her at Howard University campus in October 2011. There was no doubt of what they thought her input would be.

“The group “met with EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson to thank her for her leadership, and show our support for her *continuing to lead* on critical matters of the mercury ruling and the Keystone XL pipeline.”

**She slammed the GOP for putting the interests of the coal industry ahead of those of the American people.**

Administrator Jackson confirmed that her EPA would be weighing in on the contentious Keystone XL pipeline and it’s faulty Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

**She encouraged students to keep the discussion on the pipeline going and to “keep making your voices heard,” telling them that *such efforts are working*, calling the national conversation on the project “awesome.”**

**POWER SHIFT, LISA JACKSON AND COAL**

During the roundtable, several students discussed campaigns active on their campuses with Jackson, the majority of which focused on battles against coal-fired plants and the fight to transition from coal-powered energy to 100 percent clean, renewable energy sources. As of this month, at least 17 student groups have successfully convinced university officials to close coal-fired plants on their campuses.

“Your leadership is important,” Jackson told the students, praising their efforts and successful campaigns.

**During the roundtable, several students discussed campaigns active on their campuses with Jackson, the majority of which focused on battles against coal-fired plants and the fight to transition from coal-powered energy to 100 percent clean, renewable energy sources. As of this month, at least 17 student groups have successfully convinced university officials to close coal-fired plants on their campuses.**

Just what would happen to the American people without the coal industry?
Just what would happen to the American people without the coal industry? Around 50% of electricity in the US is generated from coal, yet there is a strange disconnect, that energy companies apparently don’t have any consumers, they seemingly just dig the stuff out to pollute the poor. The United States has enough recoverable coal reserves to last at least another 250 years, with reserves that are over one-and-one-half times greater than the nearest competitor, Russia, and over twice that of China. America’s known reserves alone constitute 29 percent of the entire world’s coal supply.

Al Gore gave a “rallying of the troops” speech at the 2011 Power Shift mass rally in April last year, (some might call it rabble rousing), where Lisa Jackson gave a keynote speech, along with Van Jones and Bill McKibben, amongst others. Again, you really need to watch the videos to get an idea of the demagoguery at work here, with Al Gore and Van Jones cynically playing to the crowd. Particularly bizarre was Van Jones’ concept of fossil fuels, (11minutes 45secs in):

“We have an energy system, a civilization powered by death.
Fueled by death. Why do they call them fossil fuels?
Oil – dead for 60 million years, we pull it out of the ground
We take Coal – dead for 300 million years – pulled out of the ground.
We burn it in industries. We burn death.
We act shocked, having pulled death out of the ground, that we get death out of the skies in the form of global warming.
Let’s stop fueling death. (cheers)
Let’s stop digging those holes in America.
Shift the power.”

Particularly bizarre was Van Jones’ concept of fossil fuels:
“We have an energy system, a civilization powered by death.
Fueled by death. Why do they call them fossil fuels?”

“Shift the power” was a phrase repeated constantly, as a brainwashing mantra. Van Jones is on the Board of Trustees at the National Resources Defense Council and a “Senior Fellow”, at the Center for American Progress, where Carol Browner has returned to the fold. He is also Founder and Advisor of Green for All”. These are the people Lisa Jackson associates herself with and yet she preaches that she is a scientist “restoring science to the EPA”.

Lisa Jackson’s own speech at Power Shift 2011 was full of rhetoric and nothing less than a rallying cry for help in defending the EPA against those trying to keep it in check. She repeated
her call to Power Shift from her 2009 speech, that “the EPA needs Power Shift, President Obama needs Power Shift and the American people need Power Shift.”

On December 21, 2011 the Energy Action Coalition issued a Press Release, headed – Young Voters Thank EPA Administrator Jackson & Obama Administration for Standing Up to Big Polluters by Putting Limits on Toxic Mercury:

“Young voters are thrilled that EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson and the Obama Administration are standing up to big polluters to protect our generation’s health and spur job creation in the clean energy economy. This decision shows the Obama Administration’s commitment to stand up to Big Coal and Oil to protect the air we breathe.

Just two months ago, over 30 young grassroots leaders with the Sierra Student Coalition and Power Shift had a roundtable with EPA Administrator Jackson to show their support for Administrator Jackson’s leadership on this ruling and to share the success stories of young leaders across the country moving their campuses and communities beyond coal to clean energy.

The EPA is heavily involved in “Earth Day” each year. The image below shows Lisa Jackson at the 2010 event.

The Climate Rally Earth Day 2010: Lisa Jackson (Brendan Hoffman/Getty Images North America).

“Lisa Jackson, administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, waves from the stage at the Climate Rally on the National Mall on April 25, 2010 in Washington, DC. The free concert
and rally was organized by the Earth Day Network to encourage Congress to enact strong comprehensive climate legislation.”

AT THE UNITED NATIONS

Lisa Jackson seems happier speaking to the UN than she does to Congress. She was a key speaker in the Twelfth Session of the “Global Major Groups and Stakeholders Forum” (GMGSF) which was held from 19-20 February 2011 prior to the 26th Session of the UNEP Governing Council/ Global Ministerial Environment Forum (GC26/GMEF) which took place from 21-24 February 2011, in Nairobi, Kenya.

During the session, a new report was released entitled, “Towards a Green Economy” promoting investment in green technologies as the means of generating global growth.

She is proud to have sidestepped Congress with the CO₂ Endangerment finding and constantly repeats that she would have wished a Cap and Trade Law to be in force, but in its absence she “has to obey the law”, as a result of the Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency, (2007) judgement. She continually repeats this so that she can say she is writing and enforcing regulations based on laws passed by Congress.

She repeated the message to the Congressional Black Caucus at the CBC White House Briefing on Commerce & Energy, on July 22, 2010. The extract is from an on-line transcript of her speech:
“The important thing to know about the Clean Air Act is that bar none, it is the most cost effective environmental statute in the country. It’s 13 to 1 is usually the number used, health benefits to cost. Think to your mind, 13 times benefits for children who are going to emergency rooms to get healthcare for asthma.

So, 13 to one that’s over the last 20-25 years easily since the 1990 amendments to the Clean Air Act. That’s what we’ll continue to do, there are policy issues associated with using the Clean Air Act to deal with greenhouse gases but EPA is now by law, ordered to use the Clean Air Act to deal with greenhouse gases.”

In her address to the CBC, she was confident of President Obama getting a second term, saying:

“I spend a lot of my time trying to get an 18,000 person agency to focus on a few things, because we only have eight years and there are so many important issues that face us, but one of them is air quality and water quality.”

In an early address to EPA staff on the EPA website she said;

“During my first year as Administrator, the EPA finalized an endangerment finding on greenhouse gases, proposed the first national rules to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions under the Clean Air Act and initiated a national reporting system for greenhouse-gas emissions. All of these advances signalled historic progress in the fight against climate change.”

“Climate change must be considered and integrated into all aspects of our work. While the EPA stands ready to help Congress craft strong, science-based climate legislation that addresses the spectrum of issues, we will assess and develop regulatory tools as warranted under law using the authority of the Clean Air Act.”

The Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency, (2007) law suit, which led to the EPA Endangerment finding, was brought by a group of highly visible and well-funded NGO’s and Environmental Law Groups, supported by politicians from twelve US states and three cities, in a creatively constructed legal suit. The NGO practice of suing the EPA, in order to force them to do something that was their primary objective anyway, is something that has happened from the beginning of the EPA, commencing with the Environmental Defense Fund litigation against William Ruckelshause over DDT.

There are always many connections between the litigants and the EPA and Ron Arnold’s book, Undue Influence (1999) describes how under Jackson’s predecessor, Carol Browner, employees from NRDC, Friends of the Earth, and the Sierra Club were given influential jobs.
within the EPA. He says that in 1995 alone, the NRDC got more than $1 million from the EPA. In 2005, NRDC was cited in an audit for failing to properly document more than a third of the $3.3 million it received in three EPA grants.

**SUE THE EPA — THEN WORK FOR THEM**

The revolving door policy has continued and Lisa Jackson boasted at the “40 years of EPA” celebration at Harvard, about the fact that:

> “the lead author of Massachusetts vs. EPA, **came to work at the agency she once sued — to see through the work she sued it to do.**

> **Lisa Heinzerling**, who with my colleagues here today including Gina McCarthy, Bob Perciasepe and Bob Sussman **helped EPA follow the science and follow the Supreme Court to finalize our endangerment finding on greenhouse gases last year.**”

These are the people she mentions:

- **Lisa Heinzerling**
  From January 2009 to July 2009, Heinzerling served as Senior Climate Policy Counsel to Lisa Jackson and then, from July 2009 to December 2010, she served as Associate Administrator of EPA’s Office of Policy. In 2008, she was a member of President Obama’s EPA transition team. A Professor of Law at Georgetown, she was at one time an assistant attorney general in Massachusetts, specializing in environmental law.

- **Robert Sussman**
  Sussman is a Senior Fellow at the (Soros funded) Center for American Progress and was appointed as senior policy counsel to the Environmental Protection Agency under Administrator Jackson on climate change and other environmental issues. Sussman also served on the EPA transition team with Jackson. It is his second stint there; he was deputy administrator under President Clinton from 1993 to 1994.

- **Bob Perciasepe**, Deputy Administrator.
  Previously Chief Operating Officer at the National Audubon Society, (where Carol Browner was on the Board), he coordinated national and state programs for them. During the Clinton Administration, he was EPA Assistant Administrator for Water and, subsequently, Air and Radiation.

- **Gina McCarthy**, Assistant Administrator for EPA’s Office of Air and Radiation.
  She was Undersecretary for Policy at the Massachusetts Executive Office for Environmental Affairs under Mitt Romney, appointed by him in 2003. Prior to her confirmation in the Obama Administration, she was Commissioner of the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection.
The NGO organisations involved in the *Massachusetts v. EPA* litigation were:

- Center for Biological Diversity,
- Center for Food Safety,
- Conservation Law Foundation,
- Environmental Advocates,
- Environmental Defense,
- Friends of the Earth,
- Greenpeace,
- International Center for Technology Assessment,
- National Environmental Trust,
- Natural Resources Defense Council,
- Sierra Club,
- Union of Concerned Scientists, and
- U.S. Public Interest Research Group.

All these groups receive major funding from the “eco-billionaire” foundations; for example, just in 2010, Environmental Defense (ED) received $300,000 from the Goldman Foundation, to “create a practical and effective implementation plan for California’s Global Warming Solutions Act”, on top of the $1.1 million they received in 2009 from the Joyce Foundation. It was that foundation which had provided the start-up funds for Richard Sandor, Maurice Strong and Al Gore’s now defunct Chicago Climate Exchange in 2003, when then Senator, Barack Obama, was a Joyce director. ED also receives funding from the Grantham Foundation.

In 2008, *Natural Resources Defense Council* (NRDC) received $500,000 from the Joyce Foundation to fight against new coal plants and $450,000 again in 2010 for the same purpose.

The Centre for Biological Diversity has an annual budget in excess of $7 million, and in 2009, they opened a $17 million Climate Law Center in San Francisco. Their stated aim is “to establish new state and federal laws that will eliminate energy generation by the burning of fossil fuels - particularly coal and oil shale.”

In 2008, Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) received $500,000 from the Joyce Foundation to fight against new coal plants and $450,000 again in 2010 for the same purpose. This is just scratching the surface of the massive funding made available to these groups by the “liberal” foundations, including George Soros and his Open Society Institute, The Hewlett Foundation, the Packard Foundation, Ford, Sandler, Grantham, the secretive Tides Foundation and the like.

The Centre for Biological Diversity has an annual budget in excess of $7 million, and in 2009, they opened a $17 million Climate Law Center in San Francisco, with initial funding of $6.3 million from the California Community Foundation, the Sandler Foundation, the Richard and Rhoda Goldman Fund, and others. Their stated aim is “to establish new state and federal laws
that will eliminate energy generation by the burning of fossil fuels - particularly coal and oil shale.”

Under Lisa Jackson, the EPA has issued $27 million in foreign grants to recipients such as China and Russia, including a grant to UNEP.

The cash was distributed via 65 foreign grants that don’t even include Canada and Mexico, according to a report issued this week by the House Energy and Commerce Committee and obtained by Judicial Watch, a public-interest group based in the nation’s capital.

The EPA has given UNEP $1,095,000, to

“provide support to UNEP in its efforts to develop and undertake scientific, technical and administrative activities needed to implement programs, partnerships and/or projects called for by the UNEP Governing Council, and to provide support for the effective functioning of multilateral environmental agreements, whose secretariats are administered by UNEP”.

CARRY ON REGARDLESS

We often wonder if these people are on a different planet to the rest of us. In reality it is more of a parallel universe, where they deal with everyday politics at home, whilst in the UN universe, they carry on with their globalist, one world agenda and transpose the policies they agree to, back to our universe, where people have to make a living and pay extra taxes just to meet the costs of their actions.

It matters not that the disaster scenarios of the Club of Rome’s Limits to Growth, Paul Ehrlich’s “Population Bomb”, and Al Gore’s “Earth in the Balance” have been shown to be so grossly flawed. It matters not that resources may be from a nation’s own sovereign territory or freely purchased to the benefit of the supplying country, we are told that they have been “stolen” from future generations and reparation must be made.

We must close down industrial processes in developed countries and export our technology and wealth to developing nations, so that they can catch up by using those same industrial processes and burning hydrocarbons for fuel. The West can then embark on a wonderful new growth path of green, renewable energy, towards a happy, sustainable future. This is the agenda pursued by Lisa Jackson and the EPA.

The claims have become more extreme, because they haven’t yet completed the task of installing a global carbon tax, which is what they seek.

The trouble is, the whole issue is built on shifting sand; renewable energy doesn’t do the job. The
only thing that keeps it going is the false mantra that human emissions of CO$_2$ are causing the planet to heat up like never before, to justify flinging billions upon billions of dollars after it.

**BEFORE KYOTO**

The EPA has long been a political body and at a meeting of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) on February 20, 1996, Carol Browner, EPA, presented the now familiar story, which has never changed in the intervening years. The claims have become more extreme, because they haven’t yet completed the task of installing a global carbon tax, which is what they seek.

1996 – *As a global community, we are falling short in our efforts to stave off global warming. The U.S., under President Clinton, is carefully evaluating how to respond to the distressing new findings of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. These distinguished scientists are telling us that they are more certain than ever that human activities are causing global warming, and that this effect is discernible today.*

As with Lisa Jackson currently, Carol Browner travelled around the campuses, stirring up support for the agenda. All administrator speeches are archived on the EPA web site, if you want a trip down memory lane. Very little has changed and the same speeches are delivered time and time again to different audiences.

"*Global Climate Change: Threats and Solutions*" Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida 16th September 1997:

1997 – *More than two-thousand of the world’s foremost experts on the global environment have come together to conduct a joint assessment on global warming.* (referring to the IPCC 2nd assessment report, in 1995).

More frequent and more intense heat waves, causing thousands more heat-related deaths. Severe droughts and floods will become more common. Tropical diseases like malaria will expand their range. Agriculture will suffer. The oceans will rise, perhaps by several feet over the next century -- swamping many coastal areas.

*This will be our legacy to our children, if we do not look for some way to begin reducing our emissions of greenhouse gases.*

"*Environmental Challenges in the 21st Century*" EPA Administrator Carol M. Browner, The Luso-American Development Foundation, Lisbon, April 5th 1998:

1998 – *More than two-thousand of the world’s experts on the global environment have told us there is ample evidence that, for the first time in history, pollution from human activities is changing the earth’s climate.*

Earth Day Kick-off Washington, D.C. 4th April 2000:
Year 2000 – More than 2,000 of the world’s experts on the global environment have told us that the effects of climate change can be predicted – rising sea levels, matched by the threats of ever intensifying storms, including more violent hurricanes.

Despite the magnitude of this challenge, there are naysayers who tell us the problem isn’t real or that the solutions are too costly.

Lisa Jackson to students at Kean University, 14th May 2009:

2009 – If you don’t bring change to the planet, then the planet is going to bring change to you – and it’s not going to be pretty.

Climate change is going to destabilize the economy you’re about to enter. It’s going to jeopardize public health here and around the world. It’s going to threaten the security of the country you love.”

2011 – Lisa Jackson - UNEP house magazine December 2011 edition:

“This overwhelming amounts of scientific study showing that the threat is real, climate change is now a household issue. Parents across the United States and around the world are concerned for their children and grandchildren.

Governments are investing significant resources in adaptation strategies, while businesses invest billions in efforts to reduce carbon emissions and make their operations sustainable.

Military planners are considering climate change as a threat multiplier in areas of conflict and instability. They know that if we do not act to reduce greenhouse gases, the planet we leave to the next generation will be a very different place than the one we know today.”

UNEP Press Release – February 2012 - Note that this is a UN press release for a UN event that took place at the US State Department.
Remarks by Hillary Clinton & Dignitaries at the launch of New Coalition to Reduce Short-Lived Climate Pollutants

SECRETARY CLINTON:

“Well, welcome to the State Department, to the Benjamin Franklin Room.”

I’m very pleased to welcome my friend and colleague Lisa Jackson, the administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; the environmental ministers from Bangladesh, Canada, Mexico, and Sweden; the ambassador from Ghana; Achim Steiner, the executive director of the UN Environment Program; other ambassadors and representatives from NGOs and the private sector.

The range of countries, organizations, and industries gathered in this room today reflects the weight of scientific research showing that climate change is one of the most serious and complex problems facing our world.

There is always more money:

I am pleased to announce that our foundation partners are committing more than $15 million to get the coalition up and running. And the United States is proud to commit $12 million of new funding to this effort, in addition to the $10 million in annual support already provided to each of two existing efforts: the Global Methane Initiative and the Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves that I had the honor of announcing in 2010.

ADMINISTRATOR JACKSON:

I’m very hopeful that as we are reducing the health burdens on women, we will capitalize on increasing the involvement of those women and their daughters in the issues of health and environmental protection around the world. I’d like to see this effort fueled by women scientists and empowered by female advocates and innovators in the communities where we work.

This is buying yet another constituency; she promotes the idea of environmental racism, we now have environmental gender discrimination.

No matter how categorically something is refuted, once the idea is planted, the lie is repeated time and again, until it becomes another “fact” in the litany of climate disasters that never were.
fuelled cooking stoves in developing nations, a point often raised by those challenging the UN agenda, the issue has now been subsumed into “climate change” under the banner of “Short Lived Climate Forcers”, with yet another acronym to trot out at conferences, SLCF. 56

The Bangladesh Environment Minister even claimed they were melting the glaciers of the Himalayas, confirming that no matter how categorically something is refuted,57 once the idea is planted, the lie is repeated time and again, until it becomes another “fact” in the litany of climate disasters that never were.

There is a highly perceptive article by Daniel Greenfield in Canada Free Press58 February 14, 2012, in which he makes some comments that have considerable relevance to the claims of anthropogenic global warming:

“The purpose of any ideology is power. To gain power, the ideology must impose its notion of a crisis and its view of a solution as the right and natural one. Once the proponents of the ideology are empowered to impose a solution, then they gain factional and personal power that allows them to remake the system in ways that will prevent them from being dislodged. The more entrenched Agenda 21 becomes, the more difficult it will be to dislodge the One World ideologues and their foot soldiers at the EPA. Lisa Jackson is a zealot on a mission."

The more entrenched Agenda 21 becomes, the more difficult it will be to dislodge the One World ideologues and their foot soldiers at the EPA. Lisa Jackson is a zealot on a mission and reduced funding is the only way to slow down the juggernaut.
For those politicians who are afraid of the EPA claims that “the environment” would rapidly degrade without them, they should take heart from the fact that, if the EPA were abolished tomorrow, each of the fifty states has its own environmental agency.

MORE EXPANSION – AN EVEN BIGGER EPA

This Fox News report describes how last year the EPA commissioned a study from the National Academies of Science, (NAS).

Published in August, it was entitled “Sustainability and the U.S. EPA,” cost nearly $700,000 and involved a team of a dozen outside experts and about half as many National Academies staff.

Its aim: how to integrate sustainability “as one of the key drivers within the regulatory responsibilities of EPA.” The panel who wrote the study declares part of its job to be “providing guidance to EPA on how it might implement its existing statutory authority to contribute more fully to a more sustainable-development trajectory for the United States.”

Or, in other words, how to use existing laws to new ends.

EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson termed it “the next phase of environmental protection,” and asserted that it will be “fundamental to the future of the EPA.”

“As EPA needs to formally develop and specify its vision for sustainability,” the study says. “Vision, in the sense discussed here, is a future state that EPA is trying to reach or is trying to help the country or the world to reach.”

Environmental impact assessments would be replaced by “sustainability impact assessments” in evaluating the hundreds of thousands of projects the EPA has sanction over.

MORE PRETEND SCIENCE

As this has been produced by the captive NAS at the request of the EPA, Jackson will claim once again that “science” is driving EPA policy. The Fox report says that the report involved “a team of a dozen outside experts”. This is another distortion, as most of the panel members have strong EPA links, see appendix.

If implemented there would need to be a new EPA section with its own chief, recruited no doubt from NRDC, or Environmental Defense. This is the ultimate control mechanism, because nothing will pass if doesn’t comply with the UN-
EPA-Agenda 21 definitions of sustainability. Lisa Jackson will likely unveil this at the Rio +20 gathering in Brazil in June and receive rapturous applause from the assembled multitude.

**THE NEXT CHAPTER**

There have long been calls for a **World Environmental Organisation**, dating back to 1997 and **James Gustav Speth**, co-founder of the National Resources Defense Council (NRDC) and founder of the World Resources Institute, (WRI), upon whose boards he still sits, (at WRI, with Al Gore). It would be another arm of the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP.).

Speth was Executive Director of the United Nations Development Program at the time and he spoke of Global Governance to the **World Conference on Rio +5**, Rio de Janeiro, in **March, 1997**, a follow-up evaluation of progress on Sustainable Development after the second Earth Summit in Rio 1992, the birthplace of Agenda 21. This is what he said:

“It is precisely because we need greater harmonization of environmental global governance mechanisms that I personally support the creation of a World Environmental Organization.”

**IDEAS THAT WON’T GO AWAY**

In **2001**, a paper appeared from the Yale Center for Environmental Law and Policy, entitled “Making International Environmental Efforts Work: The Case for a Global Environmental Organization” It was by Daniel C. Esty and Maria H. Ivanova.

Daniel Esty was Professor of Environmental Law and Policy at Yale Law School and Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies, Director of the Yale Center for Environmental Law and Policy and Associate Dean of the Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies. **Ivanova** was the Director of the Global Environmental Governance Project at the Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies. **James Speth was Dean** of Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies from 1999 to 2009.

The **current Director of the Yale Climate and Energy Institute** and Professor in the Practice of Sustainable Development is none other than **Dr Rajendra Pachauri** of the IPCC.

**2007** – In September 2007, a call for an 'International Climate Agency' to help stabilise global greenhouse gas emissions was made by the former BP chief executive, **Lord Browne**.

**2009** – German Chancellor Angela **Merkel** and French President Nicolas **Sarkozy** rallied to the cause:

*Why we need a world environment organisation* 28/10/2009

*There is an urgent need for an environmental organisation within the UN system with real political clout.*
In a letter to the UN secretary general they emphasised that we must overhaul environmental governance and use Copenhagen climate talks in December to progress the creation of a world environmental organisation. Other world leaders adopted a similar tone, albeit in the corridors, at the recent UN summit on climate change and at the G20 meeting in Pittsburgh.

As we approach the Rio +20 events in June this year, the push will be on for yet more control from the UN.

2012 – THE ROAD TO RIO … AGAIN

100 countries back World Environment Agency: France

AFP - More than a hundred countries now support a French proposal to create a World Environment Organisation at the upcoming 20th anniversary conference of the Rio Summit, France’s ecology minister said on Tuesday.

STARVE THE VIRUS

The inexorable march of the EPA and the UNEP Agenda needs to be halted. They grow and prosper because vast quantities of public money are thrown at them to deal with their manufactured and exaggerated scares and they feed on their own success to generate a constantly evolving need for more regulation and more money. After all, if a problem has been identified and solved, they could see themselves out of a job, so they need the next big scare.

They can only continue to grow if our national politicians keep giving them more of our money. **We must resist the monetisation of carbon. The whole idea of a global carbon tax is to give the UN an exchequer, so that they can levy world taxes, the final step to world governance.**

The process of retrenchment must begin with the EPA and extend to the hydra that is the United Nations.
APPENDIX

Sustainability and the U.S. EPA – A report by the “Committee on Incorporating Sustainability in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency” - Science and Technology for Sustainability Program - Policy and Global Affairs Division, National Research Council of the National Academies

Here we have yet another EPA-UN agenda, wrapped up as a pseudo-scientific report. Simply adding the imprimatur of the National Academies, does not mean you get an objective scientific report and many of the panels are seeded with NGO’s or former EPA employees, see SPPI paper, “Controlling the Science: National Academies and Consensus”

The report on sustainability has been produced by a panel made up largely of former EPA employees or associates and would be expected to give the Lisa Jackson the “scientific backing” she needs for yet another push for more powers. It has one of the lawyers who co-authored an amicus brief in the Massachusetts v EPA law suit and even has one of the co-founders of the EPA, going back to 1969, J. Clarence Davies.

✓ J. Clarence Davies.
  Davies was a consultant to the President's Advisory Council on Executive Organization and co-authored the reorganization plan that created the Environmental Protection Agency (1969-1970), never voted on by Congress. He was Assistant Administrator for Policy, Planning and Evaluation at the EPA from 1989 – 1991, with responsibility for regulatory process, strategic planning and climate change policy. He was a member of the EPA National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology, Subcommittee on Environmental Statistics (1991-1997)

He has been with Resources for the Future since 1992, on whose board serves Socialist International economist, Joseph Stiglitz, Sally Katzen of the Podesta group and Mohamed el Ashry of Tim Wirth’s United Nations Foundation.

✓ Marina S. Moses, NAS Director of the Sustainability program, joined the Policy and Global Affairs Division of the National Academies as the Director for the Science and Technology for Sustainability Program in June 2009. She has previously held senior scientific positions in the Environmental Management Division of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and in the Superfund Program of the EPA in a Regional office.
✓ **Bernard D. Goldstein, M.D.**
Dr. Goldstein is Professor of Environmental and Occupational Health and the former Dean of the University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health. He was previously **Assistant Administrator** for Research and Development of the **EPA**, 1983-1985. He is currently editor-in-chief of the **UN overshoot** The Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment (SCOPE). He was a member and chairman of the NIH Toxicology Study Section, EPA Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee.

✓ **Leslie Carothers** has been President of the Environmental Law Institute since 2003. She previously served in legal and executive positions at **EPA**, Connecticut. She taught environmental regulation in 1991 as an adjunct lecturer at the **Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Studies**.

✓ **John Dernbach**
In 2006 Dernbach was one of four lawyers to co-author an amicus brief to the U.S. Supreme Court on behalf of “18 prominent climate scientists” in Massachusetts v. EPA. In Bali, 2007, at the United Nations Climate Change Conference he presented a **paper on future work** for IPCC AR4 WGIII, with much emphasis on sustainable development and climate justice.

✓ **Paul Gilman, Ph.D.** Covanta Energy
He was **Assistant Administrator** for Research and Development and Science Advisor at the **EPA** from 2002 until 2004 and a former Associate director of the White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Natural Resources, Energy, and Science.

Covanta Energy is one of the world’s largest owners and operators of infrastructure for the conversion of waste-to-energy (known as “energy-from waste” or “EfW”), as well as other waste disposal and renewable energy production businesses. It will undoubtedly benefit from legislation relating to energy.

✓ **Professor Steven Polasky**
University Fellow at **Resources for the Future**, (see J Clarence Davies, above). Research Fellow with The Beijer Institute of Ecological Economics, part of the Stockholm Environment Institute.

✓ **Dr. Kenneth G. Ruffing** is currently Deputy Director for Environment, at the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) in Paris, although he is described in the NAS report as a private contractor. Prior to joining OECD in 2000, he served in the **United Nations Division for Sustainable Development** as Deputy Director and Chief of the Socio-Economic Policies, Finance and Technology Branch.

✓ **Armistead G. Russell**
Professor of Environmental Engineering
School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology
**EPA** Committee membership: FACA Subcommittee on Ozone, Particulate Matter and Regional Haze; ORD Program reviews.

✓ **Neil C. Hawkins, Sc.D.**
  Vice President, Sustainability and Environment, Health and Safety
  The Dow Chemical Company, Michigan
  Member of The Erb Institute’s Strategic Advisory Council at the University of Michigan, where Alan Hecht, Director of Sustainable Development at EPA, is also a member.

✓ **Lauren Zeise, Ph.D.**, California EPA, Oakland, California, Co-editor of Cal/EPA “Health Effects of Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke.”
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